Docket No. 12969-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Bitler et al

Group Art Unit: 1714

5 Serial No.: 09/810.920 Examiner: Peter Szekely

Filing Date: March 16, 2001

Title: Polymeric Thickeners for Oil-containing Compositions

10

by fax to 1-571-273-1119

Attention; Supervising Examiner Vasu Jaganathan

Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

15

20

25

30

CORRECTED PAPERS

As discussed in the telephone conversation on December 11, 2003, between Supervising Examiner Vasu Jaganathan and the undersigned, a Petition and accompanying papers intended for this file were mailed (as first-class mail) to the Office by the undersigned on December 10, 2003. Unfortunately, through error, the Petition and Transmittal Form incorrectly reference the parent application, Serial number 09/398,377 (Docket 12969), which is also being examined by Examiner Szekely. The copies attached to the Petition (a previously-filed Petition, a previously-issued Commissioner's Decision, and a previously-filed Reply) are correctly referenced to this file.

As agreed in the telephone conversation, attached hereto are copies of the incorrectly referenced papers showing in manuscript the corrections required so that they refer to this file. Also attached is a copy of page 6 of the Petition showing in manuscript an amendment that the Applicant would like to make. Please insert these amended pages in place of the incorrect originals.

The undersigned regrets the inconvenience caused by this error, and thanks the Office for its assistance in rectifying the error.

Respectfully submitted,

T. H. P. Richardson, Registration No.28,805,

Tel No. 650 854 6304

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to 571 273 1119 on December 11, 2003, and marked for the attention of Supervising Examiner Vasu Jaganathan

Typed name of person signing this certificate: T. H. P. Richardson mardio

Signature

810, 12969-03/16/2001 TRANSMITTAL FORM AND FEE TRANSMITTAL Attorney Docket No. . . . Bitler 09/398.377 00/47/00 Application No. Filmo Date Examiner: Szekely P. Group Auf Unite : 1 22 2 1714

This Transmittal Sheet is accompanied by

Petition, check for \$130.00, and an Acknowledgement Postcard

Fee Calculations (Applicant is a small entity)

			Applic	able Ree	Fee Pald	
(1) Filing fee			740/370		\$	
(2) Extra claim fees	No. In Specification or after Amendment		eava (b)	Applicable	130	
Total Claims	32	- 34 =	0	x 18/9		
Ind. Claims	6	-7 =	0	× 80/40		
Multiple dependent claim(s) presented for first time (c) No 280/140						
Subtotal (2) Extra claim fees						
Extension for Reply (1,2,3 months: \$110/55, 400/200, 920/460) month(s						
Petition to Commissioner (\$130)						
Information Disclosure Statement (\$180)						
Subtotal (3) Additional or other fees						
Total Fees Submitted (Sum of Subtotals (1), (2), and (3))						

A check for \$130,00 is attached

10

This Sheet is transmitted in duplicate.

<u>Authorization to Charge Deposit Account for Additional Fees</u>
The Assistant Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR §§ 1.16 and 1.17, and credit any overpayments to, the following deposit account.

Deposit Account No: 19-2090

Deposit Account Name: Sheldon & Mak

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with United States Poetal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

On December 10, 2003 1 certificate: T. H. P. Richardson Typed name of person signing this

Signature

Respectfully Submitted.

Name T. H. P. Richardson Tel. No.650-854-6304 Fax No.626-795-6321

Sheldon & Mak

225 South Lake Avenue

Pasadena CA 91101

	and the second of the second o	12969-1	09/810,920	
226 S. LAKÉ PASADENA. C.	N & MAK, INC. AVENUE, SUITE 900 ALIFORNIA 91101-3005 81 798-4000	Douber 129 Shr 18 34 Whiten be	LAMATON L	7866
Hiples Com		tents and		DOLL CREEKA
09/810,920	Serial No.: 19/3 95 Dooker No.: 19/4 95 Date Mailer: 19/4 9	Fiting Date: Aromey: June Ar	Der nation w/specimens tension of Time tension tension tension tension tension	<i>∞</i> 1

Correction of these papers (the check and the acknowledgement postcand) is neither required nor expected, but is noted for the record.

Docket No. 12969

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Bitler

09/810,920

Group Art Unit: 1714

Serial No.: 99/398;377

03/16/2001

Examiner: Szekely, P.

Filing Date: 09/17/99-

Title: Polymeric Thickeners for Oil-Containing Compositions

650-8542384

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents

Attention Petitions Branch

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION

Sir.

This is a petition to the Commissioner

- (1) to direct the Examiner to withdraw the finality of the Office Action mailed October 10, 2003, on the ground that a clear issue for appeal has not been developed, and
- insofar as the Commissioner deems it appropriate, to direct the Examiner to provide reasoned answers to the Applicants' arguments.

Background

On June 17, 2003, Applicants mailed a Petition to direct the Examiner as to the Correct Construction of the Claims. On October 7, 2003, the Commissioner dismissed

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mall in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 On December 10, 2003 Typed name of person signing this certificate: T. H. P. Richardson Signature

- (ii) Mueller's objective is to lower the pour point of an oil, which necessarily means that the oil is made thinner, whereas Morawsky's objective is to thicken an oil;
- (iii) Mueller discloses a preferred range of 0.005 to 0.2% for the concentration of additive, and in fact uses, in the specific Examples, 0.04 to 0.1%; and Morawsky discloses a preferred range of 0.5 to 10%, and in fact uses, in the specific Examples 5%, so that there is no overlap between the concentration ranges in which Mueller and Morawsky achieved practical results.

The Final Rejection does not comment on these agaments.

4. The rejection of claim 6 under 35 USC 103

On page 22, lines 26-31 of the attached Reply, it was noted that claims 6 and 7 had been rejected under 35 USC 102 and that claim 6 (but not claim 7) had been rejected under 35 USC 103; that those claims require the presence of hydroxyl groups in the SCC polymer additive; that there is nothing in Mueller to suggest the use of hydroxyl-containing SCC polymers; and that the office action says nothing about this difference. In the outstanding Final Rejection, claims 6 and 7 are no longer rejected under 35 USC 102, but claim 6 remains rejected under 35 USC 103, without any reasons given for the rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

T. H. P. Richardson, Registration No.28,805, Tel No. 650 854 630